

## Introduction

- Liver cirrhosis due to alcoholic-related liver disease (ALD) and n different diseases with similar histopathology, and histological diseases
- Although the distinction between ALD and NASH is defined by the amount of alcohol consumed, there is no sufficient consensus because of individual differences in the effects of alcohol.
- Therefore, it is desirable to establish new diagnostic criteria to objectively diagnose ALD and NASH.
- In recent years, digital analysis of pathology has become possible with whole slide imaging systems, which can convert pathology specimens into high-resolution digital images, enabling comprehensive quantitative analysis of pathological parameters using AI.

## Aim

This study was to find histological differences between ALD and NASH by analysing more than 300 histological fibrosis phenotypic features.

## Method

- Thirty-six patients with cirrhosis due to ALD and 17 patients with cirrhosis due to NASH who underwent liver transplantation at Nagasaki University Hospital between January 2000 and December 2020 were included.
- Tissues of recipient-extracted livers were stained with SiriusRed and imported for digital pathology imaging.
- The FibroNest<sup>™</sup> quantitative digital pathology platform (PharmaNest, Princeton, NJ, USA) was used to quantify the histological phenotype of fibrosis, including collagen amount and structure (12 traits), morphometric traits of the collagen fibres (13 traits), and architecture of fibrosis (7 traits).
- Each traits considers mean, variance, skewness, kurtosis and progression, for a total of over 300 parameters to compare differences in histological features between ASH and NASH.



# Evaluation of histological differences between cirrhosis due to alcoholicrelated liver disease and non-alcoholic steatohepatitis using automated fibrosis phenotyping of liver histology

Masanori Fukushima<sup>1</sup>, Hisamitsu Miyaaki<sup>1</sup>, Yasuhiko Nakao<sup>1</sup>, Ryu Sasaki<sup>1</sup>, Satoshi Miuma<sup>1</sup>, Shinji Okano<sup>2</sup>, Kazuhiko Nakao<sup>1</sup> 1. Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Nagasaki University Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, Nagasaki, Japan 2. Department of Pathology, Nagasaki University Graduate School of Biomedical Science

non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) are	
iscrimination can be difficult.	



Age (years) Sex (male/female) BMI (Kg/m<sup>2</sup>) MELD score Plt (10⁴/µl) **PT-INR** ALB (g/dl) T-Bil (mg/dl) Fib-4 index Hyarulonic acid





than the NASH group.



The analysis of fibrosis patterns by digital pathology suggested the possibility of discriminating the histological diagnosis of ALD/ NASH by differences in fibrosis morphology.

	able 1				
Characteristi	c of 53 patie	nts		Comparison of	<sup>;</sup> morp
Total(n=53)	ASH (n=36)	NASH (n=17)	p-value	(	AŞH
60.0 (53-64)	58.5 (52-63)	63.0 (57-65)	NS	A     A     B     B     C	10     21     21     22     21       10     10     10     10     10     10       10     10     10     10     10     10     10       10     10     10     10     10     10     10     10       10     10     10     10     10     10     10     10
38:15	31:5	7:10	0.002	No   No No No No No No No No No No No   No No No No No No No No No No No   No No No No No No No No No No No   No No No No No No No No No No No   No No No No No No No No No No No   No No No No No No No No No No No   No No No No No No No No No No No No   No No No No No No No No No No No No   No No No No No No No No No No No	No.     No.     No.     No.     No.     No.       10     00 <t< td=""></t<>
24.8 (21.8-27.3)	23.7 (21.6-26.4)	26.4 (23.7-28.2)	NS		
17 (13-23)	17 (11-22)	19 (14-25)	NS	No     No<	
5.5 (4.5-8.7)	5.9 (4.2-8.6)	5.5 (4.9-8.8)	NS	And exploremental flatting   20   20   40	And     And     And     And     And       And
1.60 (1.38-1.83)	1.56 (1.35-1.86)	1.66 (1.38-1.78)	NS	1     1	No.     No.
2.6 (2.4-2.9)	2.5 (2.4-2.8)	2.6 (2.4-2.9)	NS	Interpretation of the second secon	00     00     00     00     00       00     00     00     00     00     00       00     00     00     00     00     00     00       00     00     00     00     00     00     00     00       00     00     00     00     00     00     00     00
3.1 (1.9-8.1)	2.8 (1.7-7.5)	3.5 (2.6-8.2)	NS		
7.53 (4.8-10.6)	7.41 (4.7-10.7)	8.12 (5.1-11.4)	NS	ASH VS NASH	
972 (469-3195)	1171 (484-4185)	937 (427-2015)	NS	30- ASH	
F	igure 2			20 -	
				0 0 0 2 2	
(Normalized count of Small)-	Length (Normalized cour	nt of Short)- Width	(Normalized count of Thin)-	구 TISSUE-1	Total Sileleton Le
	Assembled			10 - Width (Norm	nalized count of Thick
	25 000	0.5.0	p<0.01	TISSUE- Correlation (Irregular Area Ratio	) TISSUE- Skew (me
	20,000 p<0.01	1 250		Fibrosis- Homogeneity (skew) Fibrosis- Entropy (kurtosis)	TISSUE- Inertia (ne
p<0.01	15 000	200		TISSUE- En	tropy (Smooth Area R
	15.000	150			
	10.000	100		-10 -5	
•	5,000	50	E		
	0.000	0		-	
ne Collagen Area Ratio(%)	Morpho S	Score			
ASH NASH	🗆 ASH 🔳 NA	ASH			
	9.00	01			
	8.00 p < 0	.01			
p<0.01	7.00			Ph_FCS	
	5.00 ×				
×	4.00	T		🗆 ALD 🗖 NAS	iΗ

The NASH group was characterized by assembled collagen, which defined a complex skeleton with a high number of nodes and branches, were short in length, thin, and small in area.

On the other hand, the ALD group had significantly more fine collagen

### p<0.01 8.00 6.00 5.00 1.00 Cut off = 4.350.00

Phenotypic Fibrosis Composite score (Ph-FCS) created from 350 quantitative fibrosis traits normalized to their maximum value in the group and then averaged.











NASI Area to Berimeter Ratio (Normalized count of High)- A rea (Normalized count of Small)- Assembl TISSUE- Energy (kurtosis Density (kurtosis)- All Log2 Fold Change

There were no significant differences in collagen amount, structure, and architecture of fibrosis between ASH and NASH.

However, morphometric traits of the collagen fibres were significantly different between the two groups as shown in the heat

The volcano plot shows that there are differences in traits associated with assemble collagen in the NASH group compared to the ASH group.





A diagnosis of ALD/ NASH was possible with a sensitivity of 86% and specificity of 94% when the cut-off value was set at 4.35

FRI--458

EASL2023

Scan to

 $\int$ 

5